POLICY AND RESOURCES COMMITTEE

1 September 2016

ADDENDUM TO SERVICE DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT AND BUILDING CONTROL'S REPORT

This report is an Addendum to Appendix A Copthall Consultation report.

| Copthall Planning Brief | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Pages 29-106 | |

Further to publication of committee papers Saracens have provided comments on the consultation draft Planning Brief and form part of Appendix A Copthall consultation report. The comments and proposed Council reply are set out in the table below. Their comments do not require any amendments to the Planning Brief. Amendments already made through the consultation process address some of Saracens responses.

Saracens response highlights that the proposed new West Stand will have an increased footprint and floorspace and states that the rationale for this will be set out in the planning application. The Planning Brief references the need to judge disproportionate increase in floorspace and footprint on its own merits.

Delivery of the Brief

| Respondent | Response | Council Reply | Action |
|------------|--|---------------|-----------|
| Saracens | We highlight that the need to promote health & wellbeing, conserve the natural character of the area and encourage economic growth are all essential elements and finding the right balance will be a fundamental part of the challenge ahead. The site has suffered from a significant lack of investment and so for it to be sustainable it must in the future have the capacity to generate revenue, but not at the expense of the wonderful natural character. | Noted | No change |
| Saracens | 1.10 We believe the successfully delivery of the brief will depend upon the ability of the council and other stakeholders to attract external investment into the Copthall site, as well as the investment decisions of the council and the stakeholders | Noted | No change |

| | themselves. | | |
|----------|--|-------|-----------|
| Saracens | 16.1 This clause suggests a Copthall Consortium and Saracens highlights that the Copthall Community Sports Group (CCSG) already exists and operates pretty effectively. As well as the main facility operators on the site and representatives from the sports clubs that use the facilities, there is also representation on the group from local residents and | Noted | No change |
| | ward councillors amongst others. | | |

Existing uses and users

| Respondent | Response | Council Reply | Action |
|------------|---|------------------------------|----------------|
| Saracens | 2.3 We would emphasise that as | Noted | No change |
| | well as being a centre of | | |
| | excellence for rugby, Allianz Park | | |
| | is also a centre of excellence for | | |
| | athletics, sport for social change | | |
| | and community development, | | |
| | and that the brief should look to | | |
| | harness all three of these | | |
| | elements. | | - 1 |
| Saracens | 5.1.1 We would highlight that | Noted | The text has |
| | Saracens revised plans for the | | been updated |
| | new West Stand do not include | | |
| | the provision of a 'Saracens | | |
| | Training Academy' or High | | |
| | Performance Centre as | | |
| C | previously planned. | The second in section and in | The Assat leas |
| Saracens | We would disagree with the | The recent investment is | The text has |
| | comments made about the | noted | been updated |
| | Metro Golf Centre as significant | | |
| | investment and improvements | | |
| | have been made to the facility | | |
| | and the centre now provides | | |
| Saracens | good quality facilities. | Noted | No shange |
| Saracens | 7.1 As a general comment we feel that the future scope of | Noted | No change |
| | development needs to consider | | |
| | the ingredients of comparable | | |
| | successful parks and open | | |
| | spaces. What facilities and | | |
| | services drive people to visit | | |
| | parks and open spaces regularly | | |
| | and what is appropriate and | | |
| | feasible at Copthall? If Copthall is | | |
| | Treasible at coptilain: it coptilains | | |

| | going to be self-sustaining in the future then an increase in football (including sports participants and recreational users) will need to be achieved to help generate revenues to fund maintenance and further improvements. | | |
|----------|---|---|-----------|
| Saracens | Copthall Leisure Centre – We would support the provision of an additional all weather pitch on the Copthall site as it would enable a significant amount of additional community sport, particularly for football and rugby clubs based at the site. Alongside further investment in the grass pitches, this would reduce the amount of cancellations due to poor pitch conditions which the site currently experiences. | The brief recognises improvements to pitches are necessary | No change |
| Saracens | Allianz Park — At the time of writing this response Saracens are in the process of submitting a planning application for the new West Stand referenced in the brief. There are some changes to previous plans for the West Stand, the most relevant to the briefing document are: - Following consultation with stakeholders it is proposed that the two floodlight towers will remain - We do not propose to incorporate a Saracens High Performance Training Centre within the new West Stand | The Brief refers to possible use for training. The Brief seeks to reduce the visual impact of floodlighting to address impact on green belt. | No change |
| Saracens | With regards to athletics usage the new West Stand will provide improved seating for spectators, better event management facilities (such as state of the art photo finish room and equipment), and improved office and club management facilities for Shaftsbury Barnet Harriers and Barnet & District Athletics Clubs. | Noted | No change |

| e |
|-------|
| ı |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| e |
| e |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| have |
| ended |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| have |
| ended |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Saracens | 8.8 The temporary seating in | Agreed | Amended in the |
|----------|---|--|---|
| Suracens | front of the East Stand is installed at the start of the rugby season and removed at the end of the rugby season to provide full access to the track for the summer athletics season. | , | document |
| Saracens | 8.9 We would stress that the current West Stand is not only 'showing its age' but is clearly no longer fit for purpose and does not comply with current standards for stadium design. | Noted | No change |
| Saracens | 8.11 We must add that the new West Stand will also provide increased and improved changing facilities for all users, and as stated previously improved seating for spectators, better athletics event management facilities, and improved office and club management facilities for Shaftsbury Barnet Harriers and Barnet & District Athletics Clubs. The new stand importantly will provide significant disabled spectator facilities, something the current stand does not provide. | Noted. Copthall Planning Brief requires access to be be considered in planning applications | Amendments made in planning delivery section |
| Saracens | 8.12 As highlighted previously Saracens no longer plans to build a High Performance Training Centre within the new West Stand so there will be no need for a new grass pitch in front of the West Stand. This area will be landscaped to encourage greater use by the local community and visitors to Copthall. | The Brief refers to possible use for training. The Brief also notes that the 'Core Area' will need to consider the transition from leisure centre to Allianz Park and create an attractive space. | The map in relation to the spatial strategy has been revised |
| Saracens | 8.13 We feel it important to highlight that the proposed new West Stand will have an increased footprint and floorspace and the rationale for this will be set out in the planning application. | The Brief highlights the need to minimise footprint and to not create a disproportionate increase in floorspace over and above existing structures. | Add reference to judging disproportionate increase on its own merits. |
| Saracens | 8.14 The proposed new West Stand at Allianz Park will see an increased capacity of less than 3%. | Noted | No change |

| Saracens | 8.15 Following feedback from | The Brief seeks to reduce the | No change |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | stakeholders and lighting | visual impact of floodlighting | |
| | consultants Saracens no longer | to address impact on green | |
| | plan to remove the two | belt. | |
| | floodlight towers. | | |
| Saracens | 8.21 The proposed new West | Noted | No change |
| | Stand does not include any | | |
| | temporary seating and so this | | |
| | clause is not relevant. | | |

Transport / Access

| Respondent | Response | Council Reply | Action |
|------------|--|---|---|
| Saracens | Accessibility is a key challenge for the Copthall site and the need to improve pedestrian and cycling access to and around the site is imperative, as is the need to investigate all possible options for improved public transport links to the site. | The planning brief recognises that improving connectivity between the site and stations, whether by foot, cycling and bus services needs to be explored with the relevant bodies and providers. | Amend access map and clarifications around potential access and further work necessary. |
| Saracens | 6.2 The parking facilities outlined does not include the 'green car park' opposite Hendon Rugby Club which was provided for use by sports teams using the pitches. Future consideration needs to be given to how this parking space can make a better contribution to accommodating the parking demands of the site. Many of those using the sports pitches are not currently using this area to park and often park on Greenlands Lane and Champions Way. | Noted. Improving parking use across the site is important and management of car parking is an important issue going forward. | Add reference to site wide management of parking. |
| Saracens | 6.3 As mentioned earlier all efforts should be made to investigate the feasibility of establishing a bus service to Copthall to support the current and resulting futures increases in visitors to the site. | The planning brief recognises that improving connectivity between the site and stations, whether by foot, cycling and bus services needs to be explored with the relevant bodies and providers. | Add reference to potential access and further work necessary. |
| Saracens | 6.7 Improvements to the route from Sunny Hill Park to Copthall could facilitate improvements to both pedestrian and cycling access. This will be particularly important when considering the | Agreed | No change |

| Saracens | potential future relationship of the site with Middlesex University as this will link Copthall with the universities main Hendon Campus. We feel that the leisure centre development provides an excellent opportunity to create a more direct and safer pedestrian route for visitors to Allianz Park from Pursley Road. Currently visitors have to walk across the leisure centre car park, mixing pedestrians and vehicle traffic. Pedestrian access along Greenlands Lane also needs to be created, again to avoid | The Brief recognises that accessibility for pedestrians/cyclists within the site is also improved and maintained. | No change |
|----------|--|--|-----------|
| Saracens | pedestrians mixing with vehicles. 10.1 The accessibility and circulation of the site should be reviewed by experts in line with the proposals set out in the brief. As far as we can see the proposed development likely to have the most significant impact on accessibility and circulation is only mentioned fleetingly in this brief, and that is the expansion of the Hasmonean School site. The current plans for the school expansion outline access to the school from Champions Way rather than the current access on Page Street so the Copthall Planning Brief will need to consider the development of these plans and their impact on the site for sport and recreation. | The Council will consider the Hasmonean proposals on their merits including the potential transport impacts and access arrangements. | No change |
| Saracens | 11.1 Saracens supports the view that the current signage approaching and within the Copthall site is poor and suggest that a comprehensive review of wayfinding signage is undertaken as part of the Copthall planning process with all relevant stakeholders. | Noted | No change |

Other Issues

| Respondent | Response | Council Reply | Action |
|------------|---|------------------|----------------|
| Saracens | Senior staff at Saracens have studied the Draft Copthall | Noted | Various errors |
| | Planning Brief and below we comment on the | | have been |
| | overarching principles of document, rather than some of | | rectified. |
| | the finer detail. We have followed the order set out in | | |
| | the document to make reference easier. | | Amend Allianz |
| | | | Stadium to |
| | It must be initially highlighted that there were many | | Allianz Park |
| | errors in the document, including omissions of facilities | | throughout |
| | on maps and numerous spelling errors but we have not | | |
| | commented on these individually as we are sure others | | |
| | have done so already. We would note however that references to the stadium should refer to 'Allianz Park' | | |
| | throughout, and not the 'Allianz Stadium. | | |
| Saracens | 1.3 We agree that there is currently an exciting | Noted | No change |
| Saraceris | opportunity to develop a regionally significant suburban | Noted | No change |
| | park at Copthall that helps provide extensive | | |
| | opportunities to engage in sport, recreation and leisure. | | |
| | These opportunities can be provided for people of all | | |
| | ages, genders, social and ethnic backgrounds and | | |
| | sporting abilities, from beginners and social participants | | |
| | to world class elite performers. It is also true that this | | |
| | opportunity may not be in place in the future and so | | |
| | there is a definite need to move forwards with some | | |
| | purpose to achieve the potential of the site and fulfil | | |
| | many of the aspirations set out in the brief. | | |
| Saracens | 1.9 We support the opportunity to utilise Copthall for | Noted | No change |
| | education and in particular for the development of | | |
| | school, college and university sport and sports academic | | |
| | opportunities. The timing of school and university | | |
| | sporting activity works well and does not clash with | | |
| Saracens | peak times for local community use. | Noted | No change |
| Saracens | 8.18 Saracens has fostered an excellent relationship with the local community over the past 4 years and | Noted | No change |
| | Allianz Park has become a significant hub site for a wide | | |
| | range of sporting and community engagement activities. | | |
| | Through the Saracens Sport Foundation we are changing | | |
| | the lives of many local people on a day to day basis and | | |
| | we are committed to build upon this work in the future. | | |
| | The new West Stand will provide additional facilities for | | |
| | the Saracens Sport Foundation enabling the charity to | | |
| | increase its community programming. The | | |
| | developments outlined within the brief for the broader | | |
| | Copthall site also provide many opportunities for the | | |
| | Saracens Sport Foundation to expand its programming | | |
| | and help improve the health & wellbeing of local people. | | |